STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2004-613

September 22, 2004

MT. BLUE STANDARD WATER DISTRICT Application for Approval of Issue Of Securities (§ 902)(\$134,300 MMBB)

ORDER

WELCH, Chairman; DIAMOND and REISHUS, Commissioners

On September 8, 2004 the Mt. Blue Standard Water District (the District) filed with the Commission its application for authority to issue its bond in a sum not to exceed \$134,300 through the Maine Municipal Bond Bank at an annual rate not to exceed 0 percent. The debt will be paid over a term not to exceed 30 years. The District has already issued the bonds in questions but, due to rolling over financing from interim to permanent, neglected to request prior Commission approval.

The District requires these funds to put into place permanent funding to finance the replacement of water mains on Bridge Hill, Park Street and Dodge Road. Interim financing was issued last year.

35-A MRSA §6102, Filing with the commission plans for construction or improvements of water systems, requires:

Any water utility, before commencing construction of a new water system or a major addition to or alteration of an existing water system, shall file with the commission, in accordance with the commission's rules, plans and specifications for the construction, additions or alteration in order to obtain the advice of the commission as to cost, method of financing and adherence to proper engineering standards.

Chapter 63, of the Commission's rules defines major construction projects as any new water system or any addition or alteration of an existing water system that is estimated to cost in excess of 5% of the utility's fixed capital investment or \$1,000,000; whichever is smaller. The cost of this project exceeds 5% of the District's fixed capital investment. Therefore, the District must file its plans and specifications, for construction with the Commission.

Pursuant to an Amended Delegation Order dated January 9, 1996 in Docket No. 93-169, the Commission delegated to the Director of Finance its authority under 35-A M.R.S.A., Chapter 9, and 11, to approve water utility financing applications, including those that involve mortgaging utility property, for Maine Municipal Bond Bank, Rural Economic & Community Development Administration and their successors. This delegation in no way limits the Commission's authority to review the decision of the Director of Finance pursuant

thereto or to directly consider requests for variations. The Director of Finance's approval shall be in the form of an Order, copies of which shall be maintained in the files of the office of the Administrative Director.

Having reviewed the application of the District, together with data filed in support of it, it is the opinion of the Commission that the proceeds of the issuance of the bond are required in good faith for the purposes enumerated in 35-A M.R.S.A. § 901. In approving this securities issue, consistent with normal practice and pursuant to §902 (4), the Commission does not imply approval of the District's capital needs or capitalization ratio for ratemaking purposes, nor does this Order limit or restrict the powers of the Commission in determining or fixing any rate.

The Commission reminds the District and its counsel that prior Commission approval is necessary for the issuance of securities with a term greater than one year. One of the purposes of the prior approval is to ensure that the issuance of securities is not harmful to ratepayers. By not obtaining approval for these bonds prior to issuance, the District is in violation of the §901 of the state statutes and could be subject to penalty, if the Commission were to find that the interests of ratepayers were harmed.

Accordingly, pursuant to the Amended Delegation Order dated January 9, 1996, in Docket No. 93-169, I

ORDER

- 1. That the Mt. Blue Standard Water District is hereby authorized to issue its bond in a sum not to exceed \$134,300 to be used solely for the purposes described in this Order, and at a rate not to exceed 0 percent per year through the Maine Municipal Bond Bank for a term not to exceed 30 years.
- 2. That the District file its construction plans and specifications with the Commission in accordance with Chapter 63 of its rules as the project's estimated cost exceeds 5% of the District's fixed capital investment.
- 3. That the District report to the Commission, in writing its doings pursuant to this Order within sixty (60) days of the date of the issue of the proposed bond, or January 4, 2005, whichever may come first.
- 4. That a copy of this Order be mailed to interested parties and this Docket be closed.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 22nd day of September, 2004.

BY ORDER OF THE DIRECTOR OF FINANCE

Richard Kania	

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

- 5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:
 - 1. <u>Reconsideration</u> of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
 - 2. <u>Appeal of a final decision</u> of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 21 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Appellate Procedure.
 - 3. Additional court review of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).
- Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.